



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/740,011	12/19/2003	Arvind Chitale		4356
7590 10/21/2004			EXAMINER	
CHITALE ARVIND			SALDANO, LISA M	
30 ROSEVILLE DRIVE BRAMPTON, ON L6Y2G8 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/740,011	CHITALE, ARVIND				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lisa M. Saldano	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retition.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>19 December 2003.</u>					
_	•					
,						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[  Applicant may not request that any objection  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) objected to be to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action fo	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-992)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	//SB/08) 5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·				

Application/Control Number: 10/740,011

Art Unit: 3673

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

### Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
  - Or alternatively, <u>Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix</u>": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.
- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
  - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
  - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37

    CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) <u>Brief Summary of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is

Application/Control Number: 10/740,011

Art Unit: 3673

separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

Page 3

- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) <u>Sequence Listing.</u> See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

Art Unit: 3673

1. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent

prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field

usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed.

Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute

the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and

prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents

Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale

by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.

20402.

2. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence

concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an

individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or

transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

**Certificate of Mailing** 

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 3673

i yped or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	_
Certificate of Transmission	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimi Office, Fax No. (703) - on on	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Signature:	-

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

### Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears that the applicant has misspelled the word therapeutic as "therapeautical." Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 3 recites the limitation directed to "The hollow portion of tubular forms..." However, prior language from which the claim depends fails to explicitly disclose "hollow portions." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Please address this issue.

Art Unit: 3673

5. Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because it is a multiple dependent claim that depends on prior claims improperly. Any dependent claim that refers to more than one other claim ("multiple dependent claim") shall refer to such other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 3 not been further treated on the merits. More specifically, a prior art examination has not been provided for claim 3.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, it is not clear what the applicant intends to claim in the following recitation "mentioning two unequal tubular form to be suitable mean average figures (diameters)." Please clarify the claim language. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3673

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sarkozi (4,956,886).

Regarding claim 1, Sarkozi discloses a self-adjustable neck support pillow 10 comprising two unequal tubular forms 12,20 containing spongy material 13,21. Sarkozi discloses that the spongy material may comprise soft fill such as cotton, polyester, nylon, acrylic polymers, fiberfill, loose gauze, down, chip foam and mixtures of these fills. Sarkozi further discloses that the tubular forms 12,20 are adjoined diagonally by a cloth attachment piece 14, which forms the width (see Fig.2).

Regarding claim 2, Sarkozi discloses that the tubular forms 12,20 construct a base structure 11 and a neck support 18. Sarkozi discloses that the structure 11 and support 18 are made in diameters suitable for children with smaller physical structures and adults with typically larger physical structures (see column 2, lines 50-58).

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sarkozi (5,020,174), Thonnessen et al (5,586,350), Behar (4,528,981) and Horowitz (5,940,913) disclose features that are pertinent to the present application.

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa M. Saldano whose telephone number is 703-605-1167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lms

HEATHER SHACKELFORD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600